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Abstract

Concerning of nutrition value of fresh produce urged consumers increase consumption of the products. However inappropriate washing step cannot eliminate foodborne pathogens contaminated on produce, consequently in many western countries it becomes the cause seriously outbreaks. Soaking and washing lettuce, that artificially contaminated with Listeria monocytogenes 3.6 log₁₀ CFU/mL, in chlorine dioxide solution concentration of 3, 4, 5, 6 and 10 ppm at 30 (±2 °C) for 10 min could completely eliminate the bacteria. While soaking and washing lettuce at lower contamination of 2.6 log₁₀ CFU/mL at concentration of 3 ppm only 5 min could destroy all cells. At the same condition total microorganisms were reduced to 2.2 log₁₀ CFU/mL (or 99.4%). Similarly baby corn contaminated at 3.4 and 2.4 log₁₀ CFU/mL were conducted. At concentration of 3, 4, 5, 6 and 10 ppm could completely eliminate L. monocytogenes cells and reduced total microorganisms by 1.9 log₁₀ CFU/mL (or 98.9%) in baby corn. However, at high concentration of 10 ppm caused strong smell and off-flavor in both vegetables. Using chlorine dioxide solution at concentration of 3 and 5 ppm for soaking and washing natural contaminated lettuce were done. Washed lettuce were kept in different temperature at 30(±2) ºC, 4(±1) ºC and 10(±1) ºC. Results showed that the total aerobic count were not significantly different from washing lettuce with tap water. Population decreased about 1-1.8 log₁₀ CFU/mL since the chlorine dioxide solution could not eliminate all spoilage bacteria. It could be concluded that using chlorine dioxide solution at the appropriate conditions for washing and soaking produce obviously destroy foodborne pathogen and increase wholesomeness for consumption.

Introduction

In recent years, the frequency of foodborne illness outbreaks associated with consumption of fresh fruits and vegetables has increased, partly as a result of an increased demand for minimally processed (ready-to-eat) produce (Beuchat, 1999). Since fruits and vegetables are normally contact with soil, insects and animals during growing and harvesting in the field. Consequently, their surfaces are not free from natural contaminants (Pao, 2001). The list of pathogenic bacteria which associated with produce have been reported as Salmonella spp., Eschericia coli, Listeria monocytogenes, Shigella spp. and
**Staphylococcus aureus** which present in many type of vegetables and foods including lettuce, cabbage, tomato, carrot, coleslaw and salad, (Beuchat, 1999)

*Listeria monocytogenes* is a gram-positive, nonsporeforming bacterium that is commonly found in soil, water and decaying plant material (Soriano *et al*., 2001). It was reported as the cause of listeriosis in human (Lovette, 1985). The organism was also known as environmental pathogen and usually presented in the processing environment such as drainage and wet area (Mazzotta, 2001). Washing produce with water can effectively remove sand, soil and other debris from fresh fruits and vegetables, however that could not completely remove microorganisms. Many type of sanitizers become widely used in food processing to reduced undesirable microorganisms (Cherry, 1999). Chlorine dioxide (ClO₂) has been recognized as a bactericidal, viricidal and fungicidal agent. Since ClO₂ Produces very little of no Trihalomethanes (THMs) in treated water, this is a potential substitute for other chlorine compounds. Moreover, the bactericidal activity of ClO₂ is not affected by alkaline conditions or organic compounds comparable to other chlorine compounds (Kim *et al*., 1999). However, the efficiency of ClO₂ depends on some factors such as concentration, temperature, type of microorganisms and type of fresh produce. The appropriate condition to use ClO₂ in washing fresh fruits and vegetables needs to be determine and will assist industry to produce the wholesomeness foods for customers.

This study was designed to examine the potential of ClO₂ concentrations to reduce *Listeria monocytogenes* that artificially inoculated in lettuce, baby corn and cabbage. Storage study at different temperatures was also conducted to determine the shelf life of washed and sanitized vegetables comparable to the washing condition by tap water.

**Materials and Methods**

**Preparation of inoculum**

*Listeria monocytogenes* (NCTC11994) were obtained from Bangkok MIRCE. A stock culture was maintained on Trypticase Soy Agar Slant (TSA; Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Cell was cultured in Trypticase Soy Broth (TSB; pH 7.3; Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) at 37°C. Loop inocula were transferred to TSB at two consecutive 24 h intervals then transferred by one milliliter to TSB 100 ml at 37°C for 185 h served as inocula for all experiments.

**Preparation artificially inoculated vegetables**

Lettuce, baby corn and cabbage were purchased from local market. Two or three outer of lettuce leaves were used then the core were removed and discarded. Baby corn that removed the cap were used. Removed two or three cabbage leaves divided for a quarter, cut the core out and slice it to 0.5 inch by piece. Prepared vegetables weighed about 10-12 g, rinsed with tap water and dried for 1 h at room temperature (30±2°C). By rinse method enumerated the natural contamination by culturing on Trypticase Soy Agar (TSA) and counted as total aerobic plate count (APC). Lettuce, baby corn and sliced cabbage were inoculated with *L. monocytogenes* to achieve final population 10⁴ and 10⁶ CFU/mL in culture suspension for 2 min, then dried for 30 min under laminar flow hood at room temperature (30±2°C). Then a vegetables were treated with ClO₂ solution (Bello Zon®) of concentration at 3, 4, 5, 6 and 10 ppm for 5 and 10 min. Enumerated *L. monocytogenes* and aerobic plate count on Oxford agar (OX agar) and TSA.

Storage study was conducted at room temperature (30±2°C) compared to low temperature (4±1°C) and 10±1°C). Lettuce was taken at 0, 12, 24 and 48 h at room temperature (30±2°C) and 0, 2, 4, 6 and 8 days at low temperature (4±1°C and 10±1°C) then enumerated for survivor by rinse method. While baby corn and sliced cabbage were taken after storage for 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 days at room temperature (30±2°C) and 0, 2, 4, 6 and 8 days at 4±1°C and 10±1°C then analyzed for *L. monocytogenes* and APC.

**Results and Discussion**

**Efficiency of ClO₂ solution to reduce *L. monocytogenes* on lettuce and baby corn**

Lettuce After soaking and washing inoculated lettuce with high contamination of *L. monocytogenes* at 3.6 log₁₀ CFU/mL at room temperature (30±2°C) by tap water at 10 min, total microorganisms were reduce to 3.44 log₁₀ CFU/mL (Table 1). Applying ClO₂ solution at concentration of 3 ppm at 30±2°C for 5 min could reduce *L. monocytogenes* cells by 1.0 log and reduce total aerobic plate count by 2.3 log (or 99.5% reduction), while extending washing time for 10 min could completely eliminated *L. monocytogenes* (100% reduction) and reduced total microorganisms by 1.3 log (94.7% reduction).
Low contaminated lettuce had initial cell loads and _L. monocytogenes_ at 4.7 log_{10} CFU/mL and 2.6 log_{10} CFU/mL, respectively, it was noticed that _L. monocytogenes_ cells became 50% of total aerobic bacteria count (table 1). After soaking and washing lettuce with ClO₂ 3, 4, 5 and 6 ppm at 30±2 °C for 5 and 10 min was done, results showed that applying ClO₂ in washing step completely destroyed _L. monocytogenes_ cells. The best condition was found at 3 ppm, total microorganisms were reduced by 2.3 log (99.4% reduction) and 1.3 log (94.6%) after washing and soaking 5 and 10 min.

Noticeably, the longer extend washing period for (5 min to 10 min) could not be assure the better aerobic count reduction. Effectively of ClO₂ may be loss over the period of washing time. The ability of each microorganisms to attached tightly to pieces of vegetable may be better at longer exposure time. At concentration of 3-5 ppm, the effectiveness of ClO₂ solution to destroy aerobic microorganisms did not relevant with the concentration except at very high concentration such as 6 ppm. However soaking and washing lettuce with higher concentration of ClO₂ at 6 ppm for 5 min at 30±2 °C could not completely reduced aerobic microorganisms but to assure the safety of foods both high and low contaminated lettuce could be soaking and washing with ClO₂ 3 ppm for 10 min respectively in order to eliminate _L. monocytogenes_ cells on produce. In addition at 10 ppm, the highest concentration, although it could completely eliminate both _L. monocytogenes_ and total aerobic microorganisms within 5 min, however it caused strong and off-flavor in leaves.

**Table 1** Population of _L. monocytogenes_ and aerobic plate count (APC) on high and low inoculated lettuce (3.6 and 2.6 log_{10}CFU/mL) before and immediately after ClO₂ treatment at 5 and 10 min.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ClO₂ (ppm)</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>High inoc. lettuce (Log_{10}CFU/mL)</th>
<th>Low inoc. lettuce (Log_{10}CFU/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>APC</td>
<td>LM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LM</td>
<td>APC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.74±0.02</td>
<td>3.58±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.73±0.01</td>
<td>3.58±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.45±0.01</td>
<td>2.57±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.44±0.01</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.88±0.01</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.88±0.01</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.45±0.01</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*APC = Population of total microorganisms on TSA
*LM = Population of _L. monocytogenes_ on OX agar
*ND = Not detected (<25 CFU/mL)

**Baby corn** artificially contaminated of _L. monocytogenes_ 3.4 and 2.4 log_{10}CFU/mL on baby corn was conducted. Total aerobic microorganisms was found 4.4 log_{10}CFU/mL while after soaking and washing with ClO₂ 3 ppm at room temperature (30±2 °C) for 5 min could completely eliminate _L. monocytogenes_ and reduced total microorganisms by 2 log (99% reduction) (Table 2).

**Table 2** Population of _L. monocytogenes_ and aerobic plate count (APC) on high and low inoculated baby corn (3.4 and 2.4 log_{10}CFU/mL) before and immediately after ClO₂ treatment at 5 and 10 min.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ClO₂(ppm)</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>High inoc. baby corn (Log_{10}CFU/mL)</th>
<th>Low inoc. baby corn (Log_{10}CFU/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>APC</td>
<td>LM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LM</td>
<td>APC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.41±0.00</td>
<td>3.41±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.43±0.03</td>
<td>3.42±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.48±0.02</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.61±0.00</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.79±0.01</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.97±0.02</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.62±0.00</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.65±0.01</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*APC = Population of total microorganisms on TSA
*LM = Population of _L. monocytogenes_ on OX agar
*ND = Not detected (<25 CFU/mL)
As we expected at high concentration level of ClO\textsubscript{2} as 3-6 ppm could completely eliminate \textit{L. monocytogenes} cells, however it could not eliminate all aerobic microorganisms which included spoilage microorganisms. Completely elimination of both \textit{L. monocytogenes} and total microorganisms was success at 10 ppm for 10 min, but the limitation was strong smell and off-flavor left in baby corn similarly to lettuce.

The best condition to wash baby corn with high inoculated \textit{L. monocytogenes} (3.4 log\textsubscript{10} CFU/mL) is ClO\textsubscript{2} 3 ppm 5 min. This treatment could reduce total aerobic microorganisms by 1.9 log even better than those of higher ClO\textsubscript{2} treated and any changes in flavor and color.

Similarly to previous results that ClO\textsubscript{2} solution at concentration of 5 and 6 ppm could completely destroy \textit{L. monocytogenes}, however this treatment could reduce total aerobic microorganisms lower than those at concentration of 3 and 4 ppm. Population was reduced only 0.5-0.9 log or 55.6-90.7% reduction.

Storage of washed and sanitized vegetables at room temperature (30±2 °C), 4±1 °C and 10±1 °C

All vegetables treated by soaking and washing ClO\textsubscript{2} 3 or 5 ppm at 5-10 min, then stored at room temperature (30±2 °C), low temperature at 4±1 °C and 10±1 °C. Initial population counted in leaves after soaking and washing with tap water, with ClO\textsubscript{2} solution 3 and 5 ppm for 10 min at room temperature (30±2 °C) were 5.4, 4.4 and 3.7. Total aerobic microorganisms in all samples increased during the storage time at 12, 24 and 48 h. Although ClO\textsubscript{2} solution 3 and 5 ppm could reduce total microorganisms by 1 and 1.8 log from the initial loads but after stored leaves at room temperature for 12 h total microorganisms was no difference in numbers (5.4-5.6 log). At 24 h all samples high population at 6-7 log and off-odor also appeared (Table 3).

Table 3  Changes in bacterial numbers over time on treated lettuce with different concentration of ClO\textsubscript{2} solutions. Storage at 30±2 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>ClO\textsubscript{2} treatment (ppm)</th>
<th>Log\textsubscript{10} CFU/mL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 h</td>
<td>12 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lettuce</td>
<td>Tap water</td>
<td>5.40±0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 ppm 10 min</td>
<td>4.44±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 ppm 10 min</td>
<td>3.65±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bagy corn</td>
<td>Tap water</td>
<td>5.30±0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 ppm 10 min</td>
<td>5.09±0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 ppm 10 min</td>
<td>5.15±0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sliced cabbage</td>
<td>Tap water</td>
<td>5.27±1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 ppm 10 min</td>
<td>5.17±0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 ppm 10 min</td>
<td>5.22±1.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results were similarly in baby corn and slice cabbage, the initial total microorganisms were higher as 5.0-5.5 log\textsubscript{10} CFU/mL although treated them with tap water, ClO\textsubscript{2} solution 3 and 5 ppm in 10 min. The changes of total aerobic microorganisms in baby corn were not difference in numbers comparable to tap water and ClO\textsubscript{2} solution treated (Table 4). Noticeably the sensory quality of the ClO\textsubscript{2} treated baby corn was still favorable after following storage at 30±2 °C for 4 days. On the other hands in sliced cabbage, the quality accepted within a day at 30±2 °C either in ClO\textsubscript{2} treated samples and tap water treated (Table 4).

Table 4  Changes in bacterial numbers over time on treated baby corn with different concentration of ClO\textsubscript{2} solutions. Storage at 30±2 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>ClO\textsubscript{2} treatment (ppm)</th>
<th>0d</th>
<th>2d</th>
<th>4d</th>
<th>6d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bagy corn</td>
<td>Tap water</td>
<td>5.30±0.55</td>
<td>6.18±0.03</td>
<td>6.73±0.39</td>
<td>Spoilage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 ppm 10 min</td>
<td>5.09±0.73</td>
<td>5.88±0.03</td>
<td>6.50±0.31</td>
<td>Spoilage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 ppm 10 min</td>
<td>5.15±0.42</td>
<td>6.15±0.40</td>
<td>7.07±0.98</td>
<td>Spoilage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sliced cabbage</td>
<td>Tap water</td>
<td>5.27±1.04</td>
<td>Spoilage</td>
<td>Spoilage</td>
<td>Spoilage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 ppm 10 min</td>
<td>5.17±0.58</td>
<td>Spoilage</td>
<td>Spoilage</td>
<td>Spoilage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 ppm 10 min</td>
<td>5.22±1.09</td>
<td>Spoilage</td>
<td>Spoilage</td>
<td>Spoilage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Low temperature as 4±1 °C and 10±1 C ClO\textsubscript{2} could extend shelf-life all treated vegetables up to 8 days. The total aerobic microorganisms slowly increased and likely similar in number (Table 5 and 6). The total aerobic microorganisms in ClO\textsubscript{2} treated lettuce, baby corn and sliced cabbage were ranged 4.5-5.1, 4.5-6.7 and 5.7-6.9 log similarly to tap water treated (4.5-6.6, 4.9-7.3 and 6.9-8.4 log) at 6 days. Since low temperature could delay the growth rate of the spoilage bacteria therefore
the numbers of total aerobic microorganisms at low temperature was gradually increased compare to those stored at room temperature which rapidly increased.

Table 5 Change in bacterial numbers over time on treated vegetables with different concentration of ClO$_2$ solutions. Storage at 4±1°C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>ClO$_2$ treatment (ppm)</th>
<th>Log$_{10}$CFU/mL</th>
<th>0d</th>
<th>2d</th>
<th>6d</th>
<th>8d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lettuce</td>
<td>Tap water</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.40±0.23</td>
<td>5.77±0.81</td>
<td>4.53±0.01</td>
<td>6.42±0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 ppm 10 min</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.44±0.01</td>
<td>4.86±0.78</td>
<td>4.49±0.06</td>
<td>5.90±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 ppm 10 min</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.65±0.15</td>
<td>4.70±0.81</td>
<td>4.53±0.18</td>
<td>5.87±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baby corn</td>
<td>Tap water</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.30±0.55</td>
<td>4.95±0.33</td>
<td>4.93±0.03</td>
<td>6.30±0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 ppm 10 min</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.09±0.73</td>
<td>4.67±0.15</td>
<td>4.48±0.03</td>
<td>6.43±0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 ppm 10 min</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.15±0.42</td>
<td>3.90±0.15</td>
<td>4.57±0.22</td>
<td>6.26±0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sliced cabbage</td>
<td>Tap water</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.27±1.04</td>
<td>4.37±0.24</td>
<td>8.41±0.29</td>
<td>5.20±1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 ppm 10 min</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.17±0.58</td>
<td>4.20±0.29</td>
<td>6.98±0.08</td>
<td>6.24±0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 ppm 10 min</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.22±1.09</td>
<td>5.55±0.89</td>
<td>6.94±0.02</td>
<td>5.05±0.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion

Results demonstrated that ClO$_2$ in step of washing and soaking fresh produce was very effective in eliminating pathogenic bacteria on produce. Significantly, type of vegetables, exposure time and concentration are influenced on efficiency of ClO$_2$. Recommended that leafly vegetables should soak and wash longer comparable to baby corn due to the higher surface area. The appropriate concentration of ClO$_2$ solution at 3 ppm for 10 min could completely eliminate *Listeria monocytogenes* and reduced total microorganisms loads and therefore, extend the shelf-life. ClO$_2$ solution may be considered as the sanitizers of choice to use as a chlorine alternative in food process.

Table 6 Change in bacterial numbers over time on treated vegetables with different concentration of ClO$_2$ solutions. Storage at 10±1°C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>ClO$_2$ treatment (ppm)</th>
<th>Log$_{10}$CFU/mL</th>
<th>0d</th>
<th>2d</th>
<th>6d</th>
<th>8d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lettuce</td>
<td>Tap water</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.40±0.23</td>
<td>4.76±0.07</td>
<td>4.06±1.72</td>
<td>6.62±0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 ppm 10 min</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.44±0.01</td>
<td>4.46±0.98</td>
<td>5.14±0.56</td>
<td>5.56±0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 ppm 10 min</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.65±0.15</td>
<td>3.64±0.15</td>
<td>5.04±0.59</td>
<td>5.64±0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baby corn</td>
<td>Tap water</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.30±0.55</td>
<td>4.62±0.54</td>
<td>7.36±0.13</td>
<td>6.73±0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 ppm 10 min</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.09±0.73</td>
<td>4.70±0.14</td>
<td>6.20±0.29</td>
<td>6.44±1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 ppm 10 min</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.15±0.42</td>
<td>3.95±0.13</td>
<td>6.76±0.02</td>
<td>6.16±1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sliced cabbage</td>
<td>Tap water</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.27±1.04</td>
<td>4.19±0.32</td>
<td>6.90±0.05</td>
<td>6.25±1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 ppm 10 min</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.17±0.58</td>
<td>3.94±0.49</td>
<td>5.84±0.08</td>
<td>6.33±1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 ppm 10 min</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.22±1.09</td>
<td>4.21±0.65</td>
<td>5.75±0.01</td>
<td>5.98±1.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

คําขอบคุณ

งานวิจัยนี้ได้รับทุนสนับสนุนจาก โครงการพัฒนาบัณฑิตศึกษาและวิจัยเทคโนโลยีหลังการเก็บเกี่ยว
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